

~~3~~ ~~12~~. The polyamide film described in claim ~~9~~², coated with between 0.010 and 0.025 g/m² of coating mixture, based on dry weight.--

~~4~~ ~~13~~. A laminate comprising the polyamide film of claim ~~9~~²--

~~6~~ ~~14~~. A laminate comprising the polyamide film of claim ~~10~~⁵--

REMARKS

Claims 8-14 are pending herein. The Office Action objects to the disclosure and rejects claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. § 112. This Amendment amends the specification, cancels claims 1-7, and adds claims 8-14. No new matter is added.

I. Objection To Specification

The Office Action objects to the specification as including various informalities. This Amendment amends the specification to overcome this objection. The specification satisfies the requirements of U.S. patent practice. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the objection are respectfully requested.

II. Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. § 112

A. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, First Paragraph

The Office Action rejects claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as including subject matter not adequately described in the specification. This Amendment cancels claims 1-7 and adds new claims 8-14 to overcome this rejection.

In particular, the Office Action alleges that the specification fails to enable persons of ordinary skill in the art to make and/or use the claimed invention. Applicants respectfully disagree. More specifically, Applicants submit that the specification, as filed, clearly explains adding acetylene glycol to water polyurethane resin, wherein the two carbon atoms adjacent triple bonded carbons of the acetylene glycol are replaced with a hydroxyl group and a methyl group, and/or a non-ionic surface active agent that is an addition product of ethylene

oxide. For example, the specification indicates as examples that Surfynol 104 and 440 are useful as the acetylene glycol and the addition product thereof. See specification at page 3, third paragraph and at page 11, Embodiments 1 and 2. Moreover, Applicants respectfully submit that because those of original skill in the art would recognize that the specified Surfynols constitute acetylene glycols and/or addition products thereof, and could readily recognize other suitable materials, the specification would sufficiently enable such persons to make and/or use the claimed invention.

For at least these reasons, claims 8-14 satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.

B. Rejection Under 35 U.S.C. § 112, Second Paragraph

The Office Action rejects claims 1-7 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. This Amendment cancels claims 1-7 and adds new claims 8-14 to overcome this rejection. New claims 8-14 satisfy the requirements of 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection are respectfully requested.


III. Conclusion

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks it is respectfully submitted that the instant application is in condition for allowance. Favorable consideration and prompt allowance of the application are respectfully requested.

B

Should the Examiner believe that anything further is necessary in order to place the application in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to contact Applicants' undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

Respectfully submitted,



James A. Oliff
Registration No. 27,075

Martin A. Bruehs
Registration No. 45,635

JAO:MAB/cab

Date: October 2, 2000

OLIFF & BERRIDGE, PLC
P.O. Box 19928
Alexandria, Virginia 22320
Telephone: (703) 836-6400

DEPOSIT ACCOUNT USE AUTHORIZATION Please grant any extension necessary for entry; Charge any fee due to our Deposit Account No. 15-0461
--

